



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/643,758 | 08/23/2000 | Hiroaki Kawamichi | NIT-223 | 1003 |
| 24956 759 | 90 05/20/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| | , STANGER & MALUI | CHANG, JUNGWON | | |
| 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | 2154 | 10 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004 | 1 - |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | ļ | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/643,758 | KAWAMICHI ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| · | Jungwon Chang | 2154 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u> | larch 2004. | | | | | |
| ·— · | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. | Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 14 is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document | |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | ion No. | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | • | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail D | ate | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Motice of Informal F 6) Other: | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| ······ | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |

1

Application/Control Number: 09/643,758 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-14 are presented for examination.

2. The corrected drawings in response to the objection of drawings in the Office action dated 9/29/03, paper #5, has been received on March 1, 2004.

3. The objection of Abstract and rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph in the Office action date 9/29/03, paper #5, are withdrawn based on the Applicant's Amendment.

- 4. Claims 4 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/643,758 Page 3

Art Unit: 2154

7. Claims 1-8 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. The following terms lack proper antecedent basis:
 - i. the contents claim 1, line 20; claim 5, lines 15;

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collins et al. (US 6,553,418), hereinafter Collins, in view of Sandelman et al. (US 6,717,513), hereinafter Sandelman.
- 10. As to claim 5, Collins discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including equipment (i.e., water meters, gas meters, power monitors, HVAC equipment, col. 4, lines 6-12; col. 5, lines 13-18) used in distributed system (col. 4, lines 2-21) that consists of a plurality of equipment (i.e., water meters, gas meters, power monitors, HVAC equipment, col. 4, lines 6-12; col. 5, lines 13-18) being interconnected over a transmission medium (i.e., network, such as Internet, Ethernet; 12, fig. 1; col. 4, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/643,758

Art Unit: 2154

22-30) and including one or more arithmetic units (i.e., CPU; col. 4, lines 42-45) and that notifies predetermined information (col. 1, lines 10-16; col. 8, lines 3-9), comprising:

a means for acquiring environment information indicating an environment in which the plurality of equipment are installed, by a sensor or other equipment if necessary (i.e., energy usage information for each of the plurality of equipment or alarm information when the energy consumption data is reaching an upper threshold value; col. 6, lines 17-22 and 59-65; col. 8, lines 14-20) and

a means for notifying information to be notified a person of the information according to the acquired environment information (i.e., report the energy consumption information to the consumer by sending a bill; col. 1, lines 10-16; col. 8, lines 3-9) and the contents of the information (i.e., actual cost or amount of energy consumed; col. 2, lines 3-9 and 12-22; col. 6, lines 17-22) to be notified (col. 1, lines 10-16; col. 8, lines 3-9).

11. Collins does not specifically disclose determining notification method. Sandelman discloses determining notification method (i.e., email, fax, pager, voice; col. 7, lines 37-41; col. 9, lines 22-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Collins and Sandelman because Sandelman's notification method would improve the delivery message by allowing the system operator to transmit the information fast via a desired method of deliver.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/643,758 Page 5

Art Unit: 2154

12. As to claims 1 and 9, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 5 above. In addition, Collins discloses adaptive communication method for notifying information to a person to be notified of the information (i.e., report the energy consumption information to the consumer by sending a bill; col. 1, lines 10-16; col. 8, lines 3-9), which relates to a function of any of a plurality of equipment (i.e., water meters, gas meters, power monitors, HVAC equipment, col. 4, lines 6-12; col. 5, lines 13-18) forming a distributed system (col. 4, lines 2-21) of which each of the plurality of equipment is interconnected over a transmission medium (i.e., network, such as Internet, Ethernet; 12, fig. 1; col. 4, lines 22-30) and includes one or more arithmetic units (i.e., CPU; col. 4, lines 42-45), said adaptive communication method comprising the steps of:

a first step in which any of the plurality of equipment acquires environmental information, indicating an environment in which the plurality equipment is installed before the time when the information of the function is informed to the person (i.e., energy usage information for each of the plurality of equipment or alarm information when the energy consumption data is reaching an upper threshold value; col. 6, lines 17-22 and 59-65; col. 8, lines 14-20);

a second step in which any of the plurality of equipment determines a notification method notifying the information to be notified to the person according to the environment information acquired by the first step (i.e., report the energy consumption information to the consumer) and the contents of information (i.e., actual cost or amount of energy consumed; col. 2, lines 3-9 and 12-22; col. 6, lines 17-22) to be notified before

Application/Control Number: 09/643,758

Art Unit: 2154

the time at when the information of the function is informed to the person (col. 1, lines 10-16; col. 8, lines 3-9).

Page 6

- 13. As to claim 2, Collins discloses the environment information is at least one of time information concerning the function of equipment (i.e., water meters, gas meters, power monitors, HVAC equipment, col. 4, lines 6-12; col. 5, lines 13-18) connected over the transmission medium (i.e., network, such as Internet, Ethernet; 12, fig. 1; col. 4, lines 22-30), the contents or amount of information (i.e., actual cost or amount of energy consumed; col. 2, lines 3-9 and 12-22; col. 6, lines 17-22), information concerning an apparatus used to notify information (i.e., report the energy consumption information to the consumer by sending a bill; col. 1, lines 10-16; col. 8, lines 3-9), and ambient information concerning the plurality of equipment (i.e., energy usage information for each of the plurality of equipment or alarm information when the energy consumption data is reaching an upper threshold value; col. 6, lines 17-22 and 59-65; col. 8, lines 14-20).
- 14. As to claim 11, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 5 above. In addition, Collins discloses a memory in which programs are stored (col. 5, lines 23-26; col. 6, lines 24-35); and a processor to perform the programs (i.e., CPU; col. 4, lines 42-45; col. 6, lines 24-35 and 53-65).
- 15. As to claims 6, 10 and 12 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 2

Application/Control Number: 09/643,758

Art Unit: 2154

above.

16. Claims 3, 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collins et al. (US 6,553,418), Sandelman et al. (US 6,717,513), further in view of Horvitz (US 6,618,716).

Page 7

17. As to claims 3, 7 and 13, Collins and Sandelman do not specifically disclose suspending the third step according to the environment information obtained by the second step. However, Horvitz discloses suspending the third step (i.e., delaying the notification information to a person) according to the environment information obtained by the second step (i.e., notification decision-making module; col. 3, lines 2-17; col. 9, lines 34-51). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Collins, Sandelman and Horvitz because Horvitz's suspending the notification information to a person would allow the system to send the notification information to a user at the appropriate time.

Conclusion

18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Mahler et al, patent 6,396,534, Johnson et al, patent 6,553,336, Fowler et al, patent 6,714,977, Murakami et al, US 2003/0098789 disclose method and system for monitoring equipment to monitor the environmental conditions of a room or home.

Art Unit: 2154

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jungwon Chang whose telephone number is (703)305-9669. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 (Monday-Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A Follansbee can be reached on (703)305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jungwon Chang May 13, 2004 JOHN FOLLANSBEE
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
PERVISORY OGY CENTER 2100